

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR05-70537HRL</u>
v. <u>RAFAEL RAMOS-ROJAS</u> , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
was present, represented by his attorney Nick Humy AFPD.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on July 13, 2005. Defendant The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Susan
Knight .	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offence described in 18 U.S.C. & 3142(f)(1) while of	n release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
neriod of not more than five (5) years has elansed since the da	ate of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	•
	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictm	ent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
A for which a maximum term of impr	isonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a	et seq., OR
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a	firearm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no cor	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	community.
No presumption applies.	JUL 1 3 2005
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	PICHARD W. WJEKING
/ / The defendant has not come forward with suffice	sient evidence to rebut the applicable presumpplicable presumplies, district of COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
therefore will be ordered detailled.	SANJOSE
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence	
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United S	
PART HI. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR I	NAPPLICABLE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance	e of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required	incing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the com	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT C	F REASONS FOR DETENTION
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set	out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	Ţ (C)
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have wa	ived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorn	ney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from person	ns awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appear
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for pri	vate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government	nt, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an app	pearance in condection with a court proceeding.
	$N \setminus I$
	11/2000
Dated: July 13, 2005	I V
	OWARD'R. LLOYD
	ited States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____